Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Add your name or organization name where indicted in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the “Insert Rows” function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled “+” that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF**; **please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

**TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES.** Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, “YES,” “NO,” or “N/A” in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposed  Section Number(s) | Agree with concept and text as proposed | Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments | Disagree with concept | Comments  *Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.* |
| All |  |  |  | See other file submitted to docket which shows detailed recommended changes to the draft MUTCD text, figures, and tables. |
| 6K.01 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 6K.01 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revising as follows:   * Add a reference to new proposed 1D.13 to the first Standard * Revise the final Guidance statement to a Standard, and revise replacement criterion to “no longer serviceable”, since “significant amount” is not defined |
| Figure 6K-1 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 6K-1, but recommends revising the name of the figure by adding “Examples of” to “Channelizing Devices”. |
| 6K.02 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 6K.02 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revising as follows:   * Revise the first Standard statement to reference the new proposed Section 1D.13 and define a maximum 38 inch height for the pedestrian channelizing device * Add a Guidance statement similar to Note 1 in Figure 6K-2 adding a reference to criteria for providing a minimum gap width between the hand-trailing edge and the wall * Relocate and revise material within the section to improve clarity, and revise a portion of Guidance on channelizing device materials to Option |
| Figure 6K-2 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 6K-2 as presented in the NPA, but recommends adding a reference in the notes to criteria for providing a minimum gap width between the hand-trailing edge and the wall. |
| 6K.03 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6K.03 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6K.04 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6K.04 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6K.05 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6K.05 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6K.06 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6K.06 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6K.07 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6K.07 as presented in the NPA, but recommends that if PROWAG is adopted as a Standard, it be referenced in the Manual. |
| 6K.08 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6K.08 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6K.09 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6K.09 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6K.10 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6K.10 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6K.11 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 6K.11 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revising the name of the W6-4 sign from Opposing Lane Traffic Divider to Opposing Traffic Lane Divider since the traffic is in opposition, not the lanes. |
| 6K.12 (New) | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends relocating Section 6H.17 to Chapter 6K as a new inserted Section 6K.12. Although the NPA reclassified this device from a channelizing device to a warning sign and relocated this Section from 6F.76 in the 2009 MUTCD, NCUTCD does not agree with the relocation and reclassification of this device, as it is not a sign, but is a channelizer, as it is attached to a flexible support.   * Revise 6H.17 as presented in the NPA into 6K.12 as follows: * Change the title of the section to ‘Opposing Traffic Lane Divider’, since the traffic is opposition, not the lanes * Revise text for simplicity and clarity |
| 6K.12 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6K.12 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revising the Section number to 6K.13. |

**TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER.** If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name | Agree with commenter’s comments as written | Agree with commenter; with exception(s) | Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter’s comments |
| (**EXAMPLE**)  FHWA-2020-0001-59 | YES | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |